(...

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No		
Requestor's Name and Address San Antonio Orthopaedic Surgery Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-4498-01		
400 Concord Plaza #200	TWCC No.:		
San Antonio, TX 78216	Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Address Hartford Fire Insurance Co.	Date of Injury:		
Go Hartford Financial Services Box 27	Employer's Name:		
BOX 27	Insurance Carrier's No.:		

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) on Decemention	Amount in Dianuta	Amount Due
From	То	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
03/28/03	03/28/03	27814 - Open treatment of bimalleolar ankle fracture	\$807.00	\$0.00
03/28/03	03/28/03	76000 – Flouroscopy	\$60.00	\$0.00
03/28/03	03/28/03	L8699 – Implant	\$127.00	\$0.00
03/28/03	03/28/03	L8699 – Implant	\$89.00	\$0.00
·			Total Amount Due:	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Not paid fair and reasonable.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No Response

PART V. NIEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.5% to 256.3% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the low end of the Ingenix range. According to CMS, CPT Code 76000 is included in the facility fees and not payable. The Requestor did not submit invoices for the implantables; therefore, cost plus 10% could not be determined. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual case.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement. Findings and Decision by: Marguerite Foster July 29, 2005 Typed Name Date of Decision PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative, Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on 0/1/05. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812. PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box. Signature of Insurance Carrier: